arXiv:quant-ph/0702083v1 9 Feb 2007

Quantum gate entangler for general multipartite systems

Hoshang Heydari
Institute of Quantum Science, Nihon University,
1-8 Kanda-Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8308, Japan
Email: hoshang@edu.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We construct quantum gate entangler for general multipartite states based on topological unitary operators. We show that these operators can entangle quantum states if they satisfy the separability condition that is given by the complex multi-projective Segre variety. We also in detail discuss the construction of quantum gate entangler for higher dimensional bipartite and three-partite quantum systems.

1 Introduction

In the field of quantum computing and quantum information entangled states are used as resource to accomplish interesting task such as quantum teleportation. Entangled states can also be used as building block of quantum computer. The quantum gates entangler will have a central role in construction of such entangled based scheme for quantum computer. Topological quantum computer also has been considered by many researcher and there are several proposals for construction of such a fault-tolerant quantum computer. Recently, L. Kauffman and S. Lomonaco Jr. have constructed topological quantum gate entangler for two-qubit state [1]. These topological operator are called braiding operator that can entangle quantum state. These operator are also unitary solution of Yang-Baxter equation. Thus these topological unitary transformations are very suitable for application in the field of quantum computing. We have also recently construct such braiding operators for multi-qubit states [2]. In this paper, we will construct quantum entangler for general multipartite states based on these braiding operators. In particular in section 2 we will give short introduction to the basic definition of complex projective space and complex projective variety. We also review the construction of complex multi-projective Segre embedding and Segre variety. In section 3 we will give an introduction to the construction of Artin braid group and Yang-Baxter equation. The section 4 is the main part of this paper where we will construct topological unitary operators that can entangle a general multipartite quantum state. Finally, in section 5 we visualize our construction with some examples of construction of these operators for higher dimensional bipartite and multipartite states.

2 Complex projective space and Segre variety

In this section, we will introduced the basic definition of complex projective space, variety, and ideal. Here are some prerequisites on projective algebraic geometry [3, 4]. We will also review the construction of the Segre variety for general pure multipartite states [5, 6]. Let $C[z] = C[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n]$ denotes the polynomial algebra in n variables with complex coefficients. Then, given a set of q polynomials $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_q\}$ with $g_i \in C[z]$, we define a complex affine variety as

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_q) = \{P \in \mathbb{C}^n : g_i(P) = 0 \ \forall \ 1 \leq i \leq q\}, \qquad (2.0.1)$$

where $P = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is called a point of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the a_i are called the coordinates of P. A complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined to be the set of lines through the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, that is, $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} - 0/\sim$, where the equivalence relation $\sim$ is defined as $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \sim (y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}{-}0, \ y_i = \lambda x_i \ \forall \ 0 \leq i \leq n+1$.. Given a set of homogeneous polynomials $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_q\}$ with $g_i \in C[z]$, we define a complex projective variety as

$$\mathcal{V}(g_1, \dots, g_q) = \{O \in \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}} : g_i(O) = 0 \ \forall \ 1 \leq i \leq q\}, \qquad (2.0.2)$$

where $O = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}]$ denotes the equivalent class of point $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}\} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. We can view the affine complex variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_q) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ as a complex cone over the complex projective variety $\mathcal{V}(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_q)$.

The Segre map is give by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_{N_1,\dots,N_m} : \mathbb{P}^{N_1-1}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{P}^{N_2-1}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}_{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{N_1 N_2 \cdots N_m - 1}_{\mathbb{C}} \\ ((\alpha^1_1, \alpha^1_2, \dots, \alpha^1_{N_1}), \dots, (\alpha^m_1, \alpha^m_2, \dots, \alpha^m_{N_m})) & \longmapsto & (\alpha^1_{i_1} \alpha^2_{i_2} \cdots \alpha^m_{i_m}). \end{array} \qquad (2.0.3)$$

Now, let $\alpha_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m}$,$1 \leq i_j \leq N_j$ be a homogeneous coordinate-function on $\mathbb{P}^{N_1 N_2 \cdots N_m - 1}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, denote a general, composite quantum system with m subsystems as $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^p_m(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m) = \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \cdots \mathcal{Q}_m$, with the pure state $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{k_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{N_2} \cdots \sum_{k_m=1}^{N_m} \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m} |k_1\rangle \otimes |k_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |k_m\rangle$ and corresponding to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}$, where the dimension of the jth Hilbert space is $N_j = \dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_j})$. Furthermore, let $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}}$ denote a density operator acting on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}}$. The density operator $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is said to be fully separable, which we will denote by $\rho^{sep}_{\mathcal{Q}}$, with respect to the Hilbert space decomposition, if it can be written as $\rho^{sep}_{\mathcal{Q}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\mathrm{N}} p_k \bigotimes_{j=1}^m \rho^k_{\mathcal{Q}_j}, \ \sum_{k=1}^{\mathrm{N}} p_k = 1$ for some positive integer N, where p_k are positive real numbers and $\rho^k_{\mathcal{Q}_j}$ denotes a density operator on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_j}$. If $\rho^p_{\mathcal{Q}}$ represents a pure state, then the quantum system is fully separable if $\rho^p_{\mathcal{Q}}$ can be written as $\rho^{sep}_{\mathcal{Q}} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^m \rho_{\mathcal{Q}_j}$, where $\rho_{\mathcal{Q}_j}$ is the density operator on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}_j}$. If a state is not separable, then it is said to be an entangled state. Now, let us consider the composite quantum system $\mathcal{Q}^p_m$ $(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m)$ and let $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m})_{1 \leq i_j \leq N_j}$, for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. $\mathcal{A}$ can be realized as the following set $\{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) : 1 \leq i_j \leq N_j, \forall \ j\}$, in which each point $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m)$ is assigned the value $\alpha_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}$. This realization of $\mathcal{A}$ is called an m-dimensional box-shape matrix of size $N_1 \times N_2 \times \cdots \times N_m$, where we associate to each such matrix a sub-ring $\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{A}] \subset \mathrm{S}$, where S is a commutative ring over the complex number field. Then the ideal $\mathcal{I}^m_{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is generated by

$$\mathcal{I}^m_{\mathcal{A}} = \left\langle \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_m} \alpha_{l_1 l_2 \dots l_m} - \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_{j-1} l_j k_{j+1} \dots k_m} \alpha_{l_1 l_2 \dots l_{j-1} k_j l_{j+1} \dots l_m} \right\rangle. \qquad (2.0.4)$$

The ideal $\mathcal{I}^m_{\mathcal{A}}$ describes the separable states in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N_1N_2\cdots N_m-1}$ [5, 6]. The image of the Segre embedding $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{S}_{N_1,N_2,\ldots,N_m})$, which again is an intersection of families of quadric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N_1N_2\cdots N_m-1}$, is called Segre variety and it is given by

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{S}_{N_1,N_2,\ldots,N_m}) = \bigcap_{\forall j} \mathcal{V}\left(\alpha_{k_1k_2\ldots k_m}\alpha_{l_1l_2\ldots l_m} - \alpha_{k_1k_2\ldots l_j\ldots k_m}\alpha_{l_1l_2\ldots k_j\ldots l_m}\right). \tag{2.0.5}$$

This result is very important for proof of main theorem of this paper, namely the construction of general quantum gate entangler for general multipartite states.

3 Artin braiding operator and Yang-Baxter equation

In this section we will give a short introduction to Artin braid group and Yang-Baxter equation. We will study relation between topological and quantum entanglement by investigating the unitary representation of Artin braid group. Here are some general references on quantum group and low-dimensional topology [7, 8]. The Artin braid group B_n on n strands is generated by $\{b_n : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ and we have the following relations in the group B_n:

i) $b_ib_j = b_jb_i$ for $|i-j| \geq n$ and

ii) $b_ib_{i+1}b_i = b_{i+1}b_ib_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i < n$.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a complex vector space. Then, for two strand braid there is associated an operator $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{V}\otimes\mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}\otimes\mathcal{V}$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{I}$ be the identity operator on $\mathcal{V}$. Then, the Yang-Baxter equation is defined by

$$(\mathcal{R}\otimes\mathcal{I})(\mathcal{I}\otimes\mathcal{R})(\mathcal{R}\otimes\mathcal{I}) = (\mathcal{I}\otimes\mathcal{R})(\mathcal{R}\otimes\mathcal{I})(\mathcal{I}\otimes\mathcal{R}). \tag{3.0.6}$$

The Yang-Baxter equation represents the fundamental topological relation in the Artin braid group. The inverse to $\mathcal{R}$ will be associated with the reverse elementary braid on two strands. Next, we define a representation τ of the Artin braid group to the automorphism of $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes m} = \mathcal{V}\otimes\mathcal{V}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{V}$ by

$$\tau(b_i) = \mathcal{I}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{I}\otimes\mathcal{R}\otimes\mathcal{I}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{I}, \tag{3.0.7}$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ are in position i and $i+1$. This equation describe a representation of the braid group if $\mathcal{R}$ satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation and is also invertible. Moreover, this representation of braid group is unitary if $\mathcal{R}$ is also unitary operator. Thus $\mathcal{R}$ being unitary indicated that this operator can performs topological entanglement and it also can be considers as quantum gate. It has been show in [1] that $\mathcal{R}$ can also perform quantum entanglement by acting on qubits states. Note also that $\mathcal{R}$ is a solution to the braided version of the Yang-Baxter equation and $\tau = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{R}$ is a solution to the algebraic Yang-Baxter equation and $\mathcal{P}$ represent a virtual or flat crossing. In general, let $M = (\mathcal{M}_{kl})$ denote an $n\times n$ matrix with complex elements and let $\mathcal{R}$ be defined by $\mathcal{R}^{kl}_{rs} = \delta^k_s\delta^l_r\mathcal{M}_{kl}$. Then $\mathcal{R}$ is a unitary solution to the Yang-Baxter equation.

4 General multipartite quantum gate entangler

In this section we will construct a topological unitary operator that entangle a general multipartite state. This an extension of our recent work on construction of such a unitary transformation for multi-qubit state. Moreover, we can only construct this operator for quantum system with the same dimension. That is, let us consider quantum system $\mathcal{Q}^p_m(N, N, \ldots, N)$, where $N_1 = N_2 = \cdots = N_m = N$. Then, for a general multipartite state a topological unitary transformation $\mathcal{R}_{N^m \times N^m}$ that create multipartite entangled state is defined by $\mathcal{R}_{N^m \times N^m} = \mathcal{R}^d_{N^m \times N^m} + \mathcal{R}^{ad}_{\mathcal{N}\times\mathcal{N}}$, where

$$\mathcal{R}^a_{N^m \times N^m} = \mathrm{diag}(\alpha_{11\cdots 1}, 0, \ldots, 0, \alpha_{NN\cdots N}) \tag{4.0.8}$$

is a diagonal matrix and

$$\mathcal{R}^{ad}_{N^m \times N^m} = \mathrm{antidiag}(0, \alpha_{NN\cdots N-1}, \alpha_{NN\cdots N-2}, \ldots, \alpha_{1\cdots 13}, \alpha_{1\cdots 12}, 0) \tag{4.0.9}$$

is an anti-diagonal matrix. Then we have following theorem for general multipartite states.

Theorem 4.0.1 *If elements of $\mathcal{R}_{N^m \times N^m}$ satisfies*

$$\alpha_{k_1 k_2 \ldots k_m} \alpha_{l_1 l_2 \ldots l_m} \neq \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \ldots k_{j-1} l_j k_{j+1} \ldots k_m} \alpha_{l_1 l_2 \ldots l_{j-1} k_j l_{j+1} \ldots l_m}, \tag{4.0.10}$$

then the state $\mathcal{R}_{N^m \times N^m}(|\psi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi\rangle)$, with $|\psi\rangle = |1\rangle + |2\rangle + \ldots + |N\rangle$ is entangled.

The proof follows from the construction of $\mathcal{R}_{N^m \times N^m}$ which is based on completely separable set of multipartite states defined by the Segre variety That is the state

$$\mathcal{R}_{N^m \times N^m}(|\psi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi\rangle) = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m = 1}^{N} \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \ldots k_m} |k_1\rangle \otimes |k_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |k_m\rangle \tag{4.0.11}$$

is entangled if and only if

$$\alpha_{k_1 k_2 \ldots k_m} \alpha_{l_1 l_2 \ldots l_m} - \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \ldots k_{j-1} l_j k_{j+1} \ldots k_m} \alpha_{l_1 l_2 \ldots l_{j-1} k_j l_{j+1} \ldots l_m} \neq 0 \tag{4.0.12}$$

and this follows directly from the construction of the completely separable state defined by the Segre variety. Note that this operator is a quantum gate entangler since

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{N^m \times N^m} &= \mathcal{R}_{N^m \times N^m} \mathcal{P}_{N^m \times N^m} \qquad (4.0.13) \\ &= \mathrm{diag}(\alpha_{1\cdots 11}, \alpha_{1\cdots 12}, \ldots, \alpha_{N\cdots NN}) \end{aligned}$$

is a $N^m \times N^m$ phase gate and $\mathcal{P}_{N^m \times N^m}$ is $N^m \times N^m$ a swap gate. This is our main result and in following section we will illustrate is by some examples.

5 Higher dimensional bipartite and three-partite quantum gate entangler

We will begin with an example of higher dimensional composite bipartite quantum system $\mathcal{Q}^p_m(3, 3)$. For this bipartite system the unitary operator $\mathcal{R}_{9\times 9}$, is give by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{9\times 9} &= \mathcal{R}^{d}_{9\times 9} + \mathcal{R}^{ad}_{9\times 9} \qquad (5.0.14)\\
&= \mathrm{diag}(\alpha_{11}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \alpha_{33})\\
&\quad +\mathrm{antidiag}(0, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{23}, \alpha_{22}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{13}, \alpha_{12}, 0)\\
&= \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{32} & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{31} & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & \alpha_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{33}
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if elements of $\mathcal{R}_{N^m\times N^m}$ satisfies $\alpha_{k_1k_2}\alpha_{l_1l_2} \neq \alpha_{k_1l_2}\alpha_{l_1k_2}$, for $j = 1, 2$ and $k_1, k_2, l_1, l_2 = 1, 2, 3$, then the state

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{9\times 9}(|\psi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle) &= \mathcal{R}_{9\times 9}((|1\rangle + |2\rangle + |3\rangle) \otimes (|1\rangle + |2\rangle + |3\rangle))\\
&= \sum_{k_1,k_2=1}^{3} \alpha_{k_1k_2}|k_1\rangle \otimes |k_2\rangle \qquad (5.0.15)
\end{aligned}$$

is entangled. But it is the case based on construction of separable state for bipartite state defined by the Segre variety. This can be easily construct for any higher dimensional bipartite states. Note also that the permutation matrix is give by

$$\mathcal{P}_{9\times 9} = \mathrm{diag}(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) + \mathrm{antidiag}(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0) \qquad (5.0.16)$$

and the phase gate is give by $\tau_{9\times 9} = \mathrm{diag}(\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{32}, \alpha_{31}, \alpha_{23}, \alpha_{22}, \alpha_{21}, \alpha_{13}, \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{33})$ Next, we will construct a quantum gate entangler for simplest multipartite higher dimensional system, namely the quantum system $\mathcal{Q}^{p}_{m}(3, 3, 3)$. For this three-partite state the unitary operator $\mathcal{R}_{27\times 27}$, is give by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{27\times 27} &= \mathcal{R}^{d}_{27\times 27} + \mathcal{R}^{ad}_{27\times 27} \qquad (5.0.17)\\
&= \mathrm{diag}(\alpha_{111}, 0, \ldots, 0, \alpha_{333}) + \mathrm{antidiag}(0, \alpha_{332}, \alpha_{331}, \alpha_{323}, \alpha_{322}, \alpha_{321}\\
&\quad , \alpha_{313}, \alpha_{312}, \alpha_{311}, \alpha_{233}, \alpha_{232}, \alpha_{231}, \alpha_{223}, \alpha_{222}, \alpha_{221}, \alpha_{213}, \alpha_{212}, \alpha_{211}\\
&\quad , \alpha_{133}, \alpha_{132}, \alpha_{131}, \alpha_{123}, \alpha_{122}, \alpha_{121}, \alpha_{113}, \alpha_{112}, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the three-partite state

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{R}_{27\times 27}\left((|1\rangle + |2\rangle + |3\rangle) \otimes (|1\rangle + |2\rangle + |3\rangle) \otimes (|1\rangle + |2\rangle + |3\rangle)\right)\\
&= \sum_{k_1,k_2,k_3=1}^{3} \alpha_{k_1k_2k_3}|k_1\rangle \otimes |k_2\rangle \otimes |k_3\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

is entangled if the elements of $\mathcal{R}_{27\times 27}$ satisfy $\alpha_{k_1k_2k_3}\alpha_{l_1l_2l_3} \neq \alpha_{k_1l_jk_3}\alpha_{l_1k_jl_3}$, for $j = 1, 2, 3$ and $k_1, k_2, k_3, l_1, l_2, l_3 = 1, 2, 3$. Thus in this paper we have shown that how we can construct quantum gate entangler for general multipartite state based an combination of topological and geometrical method.

Acknowledgments: The author gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

References

[1] L. Kauffman and S. Lomonaco Jr., New J. Phys. 4 (2002), 73.1–73.18.

[2] H. Heydari, e-print quant-ph/0702015 v1.

[3] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley and Sons, New York, 1978.

[4] D. Mumford, *Algebraic Geometry I, Complex Projective Varieties*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.

[5] H. Heydari and G. Björk, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38** (2005) 3203-3211.

[6] H. Heydari, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39** (2006) 9839-9844.

[7] C. Kassel, *Quantum groups*, Springer, 1995.

[8] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge university press, 1994.